#### Annexure-1

### A Study on Emotional Intelligence and Occupational Stress of University Teachers in Myanmar

Variables		Ν	Total
Gender	Male	61	475
	Female	414	
Marital Status	Single	330	475
	Married	145	
Job Status	Tutor	203	475
	Assistant	108	
	Lecturer	100	
	Lecturer	105	
	Associate	50	
	Professor	59	

#### Table 3.1 Sample of the Study

#### Table 4.1 Descriptive Statistics for the Emotional Intelligence of Teachers

Variable	N	Mean	SD	Minimum	Maximum
Emotional	475	122.09	10.994	78	157
Intelligence					

#### Table 4.2 Descriptive Statistics for Dimensions of Emotional Intelligence of Teachers

Dimensions of EI	Mean	SD	Minimum	Maximum
Emotional Recognition and Expression	64.33	10.535	32	100
Understanding Other Emotions	62.26	10.478	30	90
<b>Emotions Direct Cognition</b>	75.28	9.307	48	98
Emotional Management	77.06	9.167	30	100
Emotional Control	67.21	10.577	33	100

#### Table 4.3 Frequencies and Percentages of Teachers' Emotional Intelligence Levels

Variables	Levels	Frequency	Percentage
<b>Emotional Intelligence</b>	Low	66	13.89%
	Moderate	351	73.89%
	High	58	12.21%



**Figure 1 Percentages of University Teachers on EI Levels** 

Table 4.4 Mean Comparison of Teachers' Emotional Intelligence by Gender

Variable	Gender	N	Mean	SD	t	df	р
Emotional	Male	61	119.31	12.397	-2.121*	473	.034
Intelligence	Female	414	122.50	10.728			

Note: \*The mean difference is significant at 0.05 level.

	Table 4.5 Mean	Comparison	of Teachers'	Emotional	Intelligence b	y Marital Status
--	----------------	------------	--------------	-----------	----------------	------------------

Variable	Marital Status	N	Mean	SD	t	df	р
Emotional	Single	330	121.61	10.679	-1.435	473	.152
Intelligence	Married	145	123.18	11.644			

Table 4.6 Mean Comparison of Teachers' Emotional Intelligence by Job Status

Job Status	N	Mean	SD	F	р
Tutor	203	121.04	11.782	3.975**	.008
Assistant Lecturer	108	121.30	10.573		
Lecturer	105	122.49	9.426		
Associate Professor	59	126.42	10.695		
Total	475	122.09	10.994		

Note: \*\*The mean difference is significant at 0.01 level.

# Table 4.7 Post Hoc Analysis of Teachers' Emotional Intelligence by their Job Status byTukey HSD Method

I (Job Status)	J (Job Status)	Mean Difference (I-J)	р
Associate Professor	Tutor	5.379**	.005
	Assistant Lecturer	5.127*	.020

Note:  $^{*}$ The mean difference is significant at 0.05 level.

\*\*The mean difference is significant at 0.01 level.

Variable	N	Mean	SD	Minimum	Maximum
Occupational Stress	475	83.76	12.129	54	142

#### Table 4.8 Descriptive Statistics for Occupational Stress of Teachers

#### Table 4.9 Mean Comparison of Teachers' Occupational Stress by Gender

Variable	Gender	N	Mean	SD	t	df	р
Occupational	Male	61	89.08	15.086	3.038**	70.533	.003
Stress	Female	414	82.97	11.444			

Note: \*\*The mean difference is significant at 0.01 level.

#### Table 4.10 Mean Comparison of Teachers' Occupational Stress by Marital Status

Variable	Marital Status	N	Mean	SD	t	df	р
Occupational	Single	330	83.72	12.263	085	473	.932
Stress	Married	145	83.83	11.859			

Table 4.11 Mean	<b>Comparison</b> of	f Teachers'	Occupational	Stress by Job S	tatus
	1		1	·	

Job Status	N	Mean	SD	F	р
Tutor	203	84.27	11.410	1.76	.154
Assistant Lecturer	108	85.32	13.139		
Lecturer	105	82.08	11.760		
Associate Professor	59	82.12	13.001		
Total	475	83.76	12.129		

# Table 4.12 Mean Comparison of Teachers' Occupational Stress by Emotional

#### **Intelligence Levels**

EI Levels	Low EI	Moderate EI	High EI	F	р
Ν	66	351	58	46.820***	.000
Mean	92.95	83.70	73.62		
SD	12.356	11.094	9.542		

Note: \*\*\*The mean difference is significant at 0.001 level.

# Table 4.13 Post Hoc Analysis of Teachers' Occupational Stress by Emotional IntelligenceLevels by Tukey HSD Method

(I)EI level (J)EI level	Mean Difference(I-J)	р
-------------------------	----------------------	---

Low	Moderate	9.254***	.000	
	High	19.334***	.000	
Moderate	Low	-9.254***	.000	
	High	10.080***	.000	
High Low		-19.334***	.000	
	Moderate	-10.080***	.000	

Note; \*\*\* The mean difference is significant at 0.001 level.

### Table 4.14 Correlation between Dimensions of Emotional Intelligence and Occupational

	Variables	OS	ERE	UOE	EDC	EM	EC
OS	Pearson Correlation	1					
ERE	Pearson Correlation	127**	1				
UOE	Pearson Correlation	061	.330**	1			
EDC	Pearson Correlation	261**	.180**	.249**	1		
EM	Pearson Correlation	513**	.212**	.226**	.434**	1	
EC	Pearson Correlation	290**	.086	.046	.160**	.475**	1

#### **Stress of Teachers**

Note; \*\*Correlation is significant at 0.01 level (2-tailed). (OS = Occupational Stress, ERE = Emotional Recognition and Expression, UOE = Understanding Other Emotions, EDC = Emotions Direct Cognition, EM = Emotional Management, EC = Emotional Control, EI = Emotional Intelligence)

## Table 4.15 Multiple Regression Analysis for Prediction of the Dimensions of Emotional Intelligence on Occupational Stress

Variables	В	Beta	t	R	<i>R</i> Square	Adjusted <i>R</i> Square	F
Significant							
Predictor							
OS	141.314		$26.874^{**}$				
ERE	072	016	384**				
EDC	160	049	-1.111**	.518	.268	.262	43.053***
EM	-1.517	459	-9.226**				
EC	240	063	-1.399**				

Note;  $**\rho < 0.01$ ,  $***\rho < 0.001$  (OS = Occupational Stress, ERE = Emotional Recognition and Expression, EDC = Emotions Direct Cognition, EM = Emotional Management, EC = Emotional Control)